UNITED STATE	es Dis	TRICT COUR	FILED SOUTHERN DIVISION CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
	for the		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT GOURT	
Central Di	strict of C	alifornia	SEP 2 5 2018	
United States of America	)		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY	
v.	)	Case No. SA 18-501M	DEPUTY DEPUTY	
LEONEL ALONSO ALONSO	)	Case No. SA 16-301W		
Defendant	)			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Eligi	bility for	Detention		
Upon the				
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursu	ant to 10	II S C 8 21/2/fy(1) or		
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursu  ✓ Motion of the Government or Court's own		* '.'.	3142(f)(2),	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(			_	
Part $\Pi$ - Findings of Fact and $oldsymbol{\mathrm{L}}$	aw as to l	Presumptions under §	3142(e)	
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S presumption that no condition or combination of contain the community because the following condition	nditions was have been	vill reasonably assure then met:	e safety of any other person	
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 1 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum	8 U.S.C.	§ 1591, or an offense lis	sted in 18 U.S.C.	
(b) an offense for which the maximum		•		
(c) an offense for which a maximum ter Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705	§§ 801-90	4), the Controlled Subst	ances Import and Export Act	
(d) any felony if such person has been			<u> </u>	
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through jurisdiction had existed, or a combinate	(c) of this	paragraph if a circums		
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a cr				
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv		,		
(2) the defendant has previously been convic				
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense the to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	at would h	nave been such an offen	se if a circumstance giving rise	
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) ab				
committed while the defendant was on release				
$\square$ (4) a period of not more than five years has e	tapseu sit	ice the date of conviction	in, of the release of the	

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

<b>B.</b> Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
⊠By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
☐ Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	ı

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 9/25/2018 DOUGLAS F. McC

United States Magistrate Judge